

*Pay. Allow* 1556 25X1A

7 March 1955

OGC Has Reviewed

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Support)

25X1A2E

SUBJECT : Accounts of [redacted]

REFERENCE : Your memorandum to Comptroller dated 19 November 1954,  
subject as above.

1. In referenced memorandum, subject accounts were reviewed and approved  
and the involved relationship between the affected FE Contract Employee and  
the Agency was satisfactorily closed.

25X1A2E

2. Subsequently, this Office was informed that a write-off in [redacted]'s  
case of an overpayment of quarters allowance seemed in conflict with a  
denied write-off in the allegedly comparable case of [redacted] former

25X1A2E

[redacted] \* 25X1A6A

3. Since we are all most anxious that the Agency's general administrative  
standards be equally applied in similar circumstances, I have had the [redacted] case  
reviewed in the light of the [redacted] case and conclude that they are importantly  
dissimilar in view of the fundamental difference in the responsibilities of  
the two employees at the times their claims arose.

25X1A2E

25X1A2E

4. Briefly stated, the relevant facts are as follows:

25X1A2E 25X1A2E

25X1A6A

a. In the [redacted] case, [redacted] was a Contract Employee at the  
[redacted] Station to whom his Chief of Station, in error, accorded  
certain quarters privileges. Based on a written admission of  
error by the [redacted] was permitted a write-off  
of \$413.21 of a \$900.37 charge against him for overpayment of  
quarters allowance.

25X1A2E

25X1A2E 25X1A2E

b. In the [redacted] case, [redacted], while himself Chief of Station,  
incurred expenses in excess of his quarters allowance in the  
amount of \$259.15. He sought retroactively at Headquarters to

[redacted]

standards of propriety and sound judgement," (Para. 4a) and also  
establishes that it is the Chief of Station who is to determine  
the adequateness of quarters and the reasonableness of their cost  
(Para. 6a(1)).

25X1A2E

5. In the light of the fundamental difference in the positions and  
consequent responsibilities of these two employees, I do not consider the  
decision in the [redacted] case unjust in the light of the [redacted] case.

25X1A2E

Page 2.

6. The Office of the General Counsel has been involved in both of these cases (memorandum from OGC to Chief of Admin/DP dated 14 June 1954, subject: "Claim for Reimbursement of Quarters Expense - [redacted] \*  
OGC concurrence in proposed write-off of [redacted] overpayment, 26 October 1954;  
and OGC memorandum dated 9 December 1954, comparing the two cases). Consequently, you may wish to make a copy of this memorandum available to the General Counsel, as well as to VK and PE.

25X1A2E

25X1A2E

/s/ Lyman Kirkpatrick

Lyman B. Kirkpatrick  
Inspector General

cc: chief, I + R

RECORDED  
2002-05-08 12:12